

ICE



IEEC

Quantum Vacuum Fluctuations at the Cosmological Level

EMILIO ELIZALDE

ICE/CSIC & IEEC, UAB, Barcelona, Spain

[web: google → emilio elizalde]

“Dynamics and Thermodynamics of Black Holes and Naked Singularities”

Politecnico di Milano - Dipartimento di Matematica, May 12th, 2007

Outline of (an ideal) presentation

- Ψ DOs, Zeta Functions, Determinants, and Traces

Outline of (an ideal) presentation

- Ψ DOs, Zeta Functions, Determinants, and Traces
- Wodzicki Residue, Multiplicative (or Noncommutative) Anomaly, or Defect

Outline of (an ideal) presentation

- Ψ DOs, Zeta Functions, Determinants, and Traces
- Wodzicki Residue, Multiplicative (or Noncommutative) Anomaly, or Defect
- Singularities of $\zeta_A(s)$

Outline of (an ideal) presentation

- Ψ DOs, Zeta Functions, Determinants, and Traces
- Wodzicki Residue, Multiplicative (or Noncommutative) Anomaly, or Defect
- Singularities of $\zeta_A(s)$
- The Chowla-Selberg Expansion Formula (CS) & Extended Expressions (ECS)

Outline of (an ideal) presentation

- Ψ DOs, Zeta Functions, Determinants, and Traces
- Wodzicki Residue, Multiplicative (or Noncommutative) Anomaly, or Defect
- Singularities of $\zeta_A(s)$
- The Chowla-Selberg Expansion Formula (CS) & Extended Expressions (ECS)
- Physics:
 - Quantum vacuum fluctuations
 - > Laboratory (Dynamical CE) J Haro, EE, PRL'06
 - > Actuators (Technology) F Capasso ea, R Onofrio
 - > At Cosmological Scale
 - Non-commutative QFTs (quadratic standard case)
 - New developments (quadratic non-standard cases)

Pseudodifferential Operator (Ψ DO)

- A Ψ DO of order m M_n manifold
- **Symbol of A :** $a(x, \xi) \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^\infty$ functions such that for any pair of multi-indices α, β there exists a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ so that

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m - |\alpha|}$$

Pseudodifferential Operator (Ψ DO)

- A Ψ DO of order m M_n manifold
- **Symbol of A :** $a(x, \xi) \in S^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^\infty$ functions such that for any pair of multi-indices α, β there exists a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ so that

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m - |\alpha|}$$

Definition of A (in the distribution sense)

$$Af(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

- f is a **smooth function**
 $f \in \mathcal{S} = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n); \sup_x |x^\beta \partial^\alpha f(x)| < \infty, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$
- \mathcal{S}' space of **tempered distributions**
- \hat{f} is the **Fourier transform** of f

Ψ DOs are useful tools

The **symbol** of a Ψ DO has the form:

$$a(x, \xi) = a_m(x, \xi) + a_{m-1}(x, \xi) + \cdots + a_{m-j}(x, \xi) + \cdots$$

$$\text{being } a_k(x, \xi) = b_k(x) \xi^k$$

$a(x, \xi)$ is said to be **elliptic** if it is invertible for large $|\xi|$ and if there exists a constant C such that $|a(x, \xi)^{-1}| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-m}$, for $|\xi| \geq C$

– An elliptic Ψ DO is one with an elliptic symbol

Ψ DOs are useful tools

The **symbol** of a Ψ DO has the form:

$$a(x, \xi) = a_m(x, \xi) + a_{m-1}(x, \xi) + \cdots + a_{m-j}(x, \xi) + \cdots$$

$$\text{being } a_k(x, \xi) = b_k(x) \xi^k$$

$a(x, \xi)$ is said to be **elliptic** if it is invertible for large $|\xi|$ and if there exists a constant C such that $|a(x, \xi)^{-1}| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-m}$, for $|\xi| \geq C$

— An elliptic Ψ DO is one with an elliptic symbol

— Ψ DOs are basic tools both in Mathematics & in Physics —

1. Proof of **uniqueness of Cauchy problem** [Calderón-Zygmund]
2. Proof of the **Atiyah-Singer index formula**
3. In QFT they appear in any analytical continuation process —as **complex powers of differential operators**, like the Laplacian [Seeley, Gilkey, ...]
4. Basic starting point of any rigorous formulation of QFT & gravitational interactions through **μ localization** (the most important step towards the understanding of linear PDEs since the invention of distributions)

[Fredenhagen, Brunetti, ... R. Wald '06]

Existence of ζ_A for A a Ψ DO

1. A a **positive-definite** elliptic Ψ DO of **positive order** $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$
2. A acts on the space of smooth sections of
3. E , n -dim vector bundle over
4. M **closed** n -dim manifold

Existence of ζ_A for A a Ψ DO

1. A a **positive-definite** elliptic Ψ DO of **positive order** $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$
2. A acts on the space of smooth sections of
3. E , n -dim vector bundle over
4. M **closed** n -dim manifold

(a) The **zeta function** is defined as:

$$\zeta_A(s) = \text{tr } A^{-s} = \sum_j \lambda_j^{-s}, \quad \text{Re } s > \frac{n}{m} := s_0$$

$\{\lambda_j\}$ ordered spect of A , $s_0 = \text{dim } M / \text{ord } A$ **abscissa of converg** of $\zeta_A(s)$

Existence of ζ_A for A a Ψ DO

1. A a **positive-definite** elliptic Ψ DO of **positive order** $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$
2. A acts on the space of smooth sections of
3. E , n -dim vector bundle over
4. M **closed** n -dim manifold

(a) The **zeta function** is defined as:

$$\zeta_A(s) = \text{tr } A^{-s} = \sum_j \lambda_j^{-s}, \quad \text{Re } s > \frac{n}{m} := s_0$$

$\{\lambda_j\}$ ordered spect of A , $s_0 = \dim M / \text{ord } A$ **abscissa of converg** of $\zeta_A(s)$

(b) $\zeta_A(s)$ has a **meromorphic continuation** to the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} (regular at $s = 0$), **provided** the principal symbol of A , $a_m(x, \xi)$, admits a **spectral cut**: $L_\theta = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Arg } \lambda = \theta, \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2\}$, $\text{Spec } A \cap L_\theta = \emptyset$ (the **Agmon-Nirenberg condition**)

Existence of ζ_A for A a Ψ DO

1. A a **positive-definite** elliptic Ψ DO of **positive order** $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$
2. A acts on the space of smooth sections of
3. E , n -dim vector bundle over
4. M **closed** n -dim manifold

(a) The **zeta function** is defined as:

$$\zeta_A(s) = \text{tr } A^{-s} = \sum_j \lambda_j^{-s}, \quad \text{Re } s > \frac{n}{m} := s_0$$

$\{\lambda_j\}$ ordered spect of A , $s_0 = \dim M / \text{ord } A$ **abscissa of converg** of $\zeta_A(s)$

(b) $\zeta_A(s)$ has a **meromorphic continuation** to the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} (regular at $s = 0$), **provided** the principal symbol of A , $a_m(x, \xi)$, admits a **spectral cut**: $L_\theta = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Arg } \lambda = \theta, \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2\}$, $\text{Spec } A \cap L_\theta = \emptyset$ (the **Agmon-Nirenberg condition**)

(c) The definition of $\zeta_A(s)$ depends on the **position of the cut** L_θ

Existence of ζ_A for A a Ψ DO

1. A a **positive-definite** elliptic Ψ DO of **positive order** $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$
2. A acts on the space of smooth sections of
3. E , n -dim vector bundle over
4. M **closed** n -dim manifold

(a) The **zeta function** is defined as:

$$\zeta_A(s) = \text{tr } A^{-s} = \sum_j \lambda_j^{-s}, \quad \text{Re } s > \frac{n}{m} := s_0$$

$\{\lambda_j\}$ ordered spect of A , $s_0 = \dim M / \text{ord } A$ **abscissa of converg** of $\zeta_A(s)$

(b) $\zeta_A(s)$ has a **meromorphic continuation** to the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} (regular at $s = 0$), **provided** the principal symbol of A , $a_m(x, \xi)$, admits a **spectral cut**: $L_\theta = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Arg } \lambda = \theta, \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2\}$, $\text{Spec } A \cap L_\theta = \emptyset$ (the **Agmon-Nirenberg condition**)

(c) The definition of $\zeta_A(s)$ depends on the **position of the cut** L_θ

(d) The **only possible singularities** of $\zeta_A(s)$ are **poles** at

$$s_j = (n - j)/m, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, n + 1, \dots$$

Ψ DOs on boundaryless manifolds

- M compact n -dim C^∞ manifold without a boundary, provided with a smooth volume element

Ψ DOs on boundaryless manifolds

- M compact n -dim C^∞ manifold without a boundary, provided with a smooth volume element
- E smooth Hermitian vector bundle over M

Ψ DOs on boundaryless manifolds

- M compact n -dim C^∞ manifold without a boundary, provided with a smooth volume element
- E smooth Hermitian vector bundle over M
- A a positive Ψ DO of positive order m in E , elliptic and selfadjoint (admissible)

Ψ DOs on boundaryless manifolds

- M compact n -dim C^∞ manifold without a boundary, provided with a smooth volume element
- E smooth Hermitian vector bundle over M
- A a positive Ψ DO of positive order m in E , elliptic and selfadjoint (admissible)
- e^{-tA} solution operator $e^{-tA} : f \mapsto u$ for the heat equation $\partial_t u + Au = 0$ with initial value $u|_{t=0} = f$

Ψ DOs on boundaryless manifolds

- M compact n -dim C^∞ manifold without a boundary, provided with a smooth volume element
- E smooth Hermitian vector bundle over M
- A a positive Ψ DO of positive order m in E , elliptic and selfadjoint (admissible)
- e^{-tA} solution operator $e^{-tA} : f \mapsto u$ for the heat equation $\partial_t u + Au = 0$ with initial value $u|_{t=0} = f$
- This operator is traceclass $\forall t > 0$, and as $t \downarrow 0$

$$\mathrm{tr} e^{-tA} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j(A) t^{(j-n)/m} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k(A) t^k \log t$$

Ψ DOs on boundaryless manifolds

- M compact n -dim C^∞ manifold without a boundary, provided with a smooth volume element
- E smooth Hermitian vector bundle over M
- A a positive Ψ DO of positive order m in E , elliptic and selfadjoint (admissible)
- e^{-tA} solution operator $e^{-tA} : f \mapsto u$ for the heat equation $\partial_t u + Au = 0$ with initial value $u|_{t=0} = f$
- This operator is traceclass $\forall t > 0$, and as $t \downarrow 0$

$$\mathrm{tr} e^{-tA} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j(A) t^{(j-n)/m} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k(A) t^k \log t$$

- By Mellin transform:

$$\zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tA} t^{s-1} dt$$

• $\zeta_A(s)$ has a meromorphic extension with only possible poles at

$$s_j = (n - j)/m, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

at most **simple** at $s_j \notin -\mathbb{N}$, at most **double** at $s_j \in -\mathbb{N}$

- $\zeta_A(s)$ has a meromorphic extension with only possible poles at

$$s_j = (n - j)/m, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

at most **simple** at $s_j \notin -\mathbb{N}$, at most **double** at $s_j \in -\mathbb{N}$

- Moreover

$$\alpha_j(A) = \mathbf{Res}_{s=s_j} \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s), \quad \beta_k(A) = \mathbf{Res}_{s=-k} (s+k) \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s)$$

- $\zeta_A(s)$ has a meromorphic extension with only possible poles at

$$s_j = (n - j)/m, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

at most **simple** at $s_j \notin -\mathbb{N}$, at most **double** at $s_j \in -\mathbb{N}$

- Moreover

$$\alpha_j(A) = \text{Res}_{s=s_j} \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s), \quad \beta_k(A) = \text{Res}_{s=-k} (s+k) \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s)$$

- The **asympt expansion** of the heat kernel determines the **pole structure** of $\zeta_A(s)$, and vice versa

- $\zeta_A(s)$ has a meromorphic extension with only possible poles at

$$s_j = (n - j)/m, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

at most **simple** at $s_j \notin -\mathbb{N}$, at most **double** at $s_j \in -\mathbb{N}$

- Moreover

$$\alpha_j(A) = \text{Res}_{s=s_j} \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s), \quad \beta_k(A) = \text{Res}_{s=-k} (s+k) \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s)$$

- The **asympt expansion** of the heat kernel determines the **pole structure** of $\zeta_A(s)$, and vice versa

- If A is a diff operator, then: $\alpha_j(A) = 0, j$ odd, $\beta_k(A) = 0, \forall k$

- $\zeta_A(s)$ has a meromorphic extension with only possible poles at

$$s_j = (n - j)/m, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

at most **simple** at $s_j \notin -\mathbb{N}$, at most **double** at $s_j \in -\mathbb{N}$

- Moreover

$$\alpha_j(A) = \text{Res}_{s=s_j} \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s), \quad \beta_k(A) = \text{Res}_{s=-k} (s+k) \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s)$$

- The **asympt expansion** of the heat kernel determines the **pole structure** of $\zeta_A(s)$, and vice versa

- If A is a diff operator, then: $\alpha_j(A) = 0, j$ odd, $\beta_k(A) = 0, \forall k$

- If $A \geq 0$ all holds for $A - \text{Ker}A$, subtract DimKer to Res at 0

- $\zeta_A(s)$ has a meromorphic extension with only possible poles at

$$s_j = (n - j)/m, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

at most **simple** at $s_j \notin -\mathbb{N}$, at most **double** at $s_j \in -\mathbb{N}$

- Moreover

$$\alpha_j(A) = \text{Res}_{s=s_j} \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s), \quad \beta_k(A) = \text{Res}_{s=-k} (s+k) \Gamma(s) \zeta_A(s)$$

- The **asympt expansion** of the heat kernel determines the **pole structure** of $\zeta_A(s)$, and vice versa
- If A is a diff operator, then: $\alpha_j(A) = 0, j$ odd, $\beta_k(A) = 0, \forall k$
- If $A \geq 0$ all holds for $A - \text{Ker}A$, subtract DimKer to Res at 0
- If $s_j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\alpha_j(A)$ is not locally computable

P.B. Gilkey & G. Grubb, CPDE, 1998

G. Cognola, L. Vanzo, S. Zerbini, JMP, 1992

Definition of Determinant

H Ψ DO operator

$\{\varphi_i, \lambda_i\}$ spectral decomposition

Definition of Determinant

H Ψ DO operator

$\{\varphi_i, \lambda_i\}$ spectral decomposition

$$\prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i \quad ?!$$

$$\ln \prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i = \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$$

Definition of Determinant

H Ψ DO operator

$\{\varphi_i, \lambda_i\}$ spectral decomposition

$$\prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i \quad ?!$$

$$\ln \prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i = \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$$

Riemann zeta func: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ (& analytic cont)

Definition: zeta function of H

$$\zeta_H(s) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^{-s} = \operatorname{tr} H^{-s}$$

As Mellin transform: $\zeta_H(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dt t^{s-1} \operatorname{tr} e^{-tH}$, $\operatorname{Re} s > s_0$

Derivative: $\zeta'_H(0) = - \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$

Definition of Determinant

H Ψ DO operator

$\{\varphi_i, \lambda_i\}$ spectral decomposition

$$\prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i \quad ?!$$

$$\ln \prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i = \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$$

Riemann zeta func: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ (& analytic cont)

Definition: zeta function of H

$$\zeta_H(s) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^{-s} = \operatorname{tr} H^{-s}$$

As Mellin transform: $\zeta_H(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dt t^{s-1} \operatorname{tr} e^{-tH}$, $\operatorname{Re} s > s_0$

Derivative: $\zeta'_H(0) = - \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$

Determinant: Ray & Singer, '67

$$\det_{\zeta} H = \exp[-\zeta'_H(0)]$$

Definition of Determinant

H Ψ DO operator

$\{\varphi_i, \lambda_i\}$ spectral decomposition

$$\prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i \quad ?!$$

$$\ln \prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i = \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$$

Riemann zeta func: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, $\text{Re } s > 1$ (& analytic cont)

Definition: zeta function of H

$$\zeta_H(s) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^{-s} = \text{tr } H^{-s}$$

As Mellin transform: $\zeta_H(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dt t^{s-1} \text{tr } e^{-tH}$, $\text{Re } s > s_0$

Derivative: $\zeta'_H(0) = - \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$

Determinant: Ray & Singer, '67

$$\det_{\zeta} H = \exp[-\zeta'_H(0)]$$

Weierstrass def: subtract leading behavior of λ_i in i , as $i \rightarrow \infty$,

until series $\sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$ converges

\implies non-local counterterms !!

Definition of Determinant

H Ψ DO operator

$\{\varphi_i, \lambda_i\}$ spectral decomposition

$$\prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i \quad ?!$$

$$\ln \prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i = \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$$

Riemann zeta func: $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, $\text{Re } s > 1$ (& analytic cont)

Definition: zeta function of H

$$\zeta_H(s) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^{-s} = \text{tr } H^{-s}$$

As Mellin transform: $\zeta_H(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{\infty} dt t^{s-1} \text{tr } e^{-tH}$, $\text{Re } s > s_0$

Derivative: $\zeta'_H(0) = - \sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$

Determinant: Ray & Singer, '67

$$\det_{\zeta} H = \exp[-\zeta'_H(0)]$$

Weierstrass def: subtract leading behavior of λ_i in i , as $i \rightarrow \infty$,

until series $\sum_{i \in I} \ln \lambda_i$ converges \implies non-local counterterms !!

C. Soulé et al, Lectures on Arakelov Geometry, CUP 1992; A. Voros,...

Properties

- The definition of the determinant $\det_{\zeta} A$ only depends on the homotopy class of the cut

Properties

- The definition of the determinant $\det_{\zeta} A$ only depends on the homotopy class of the cut
- A zeta function (and corresponding determinant) with the same meromorphic structure in the complex s -plane and extending the ordinary definition to operators of complex order $m \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ (they do not admit spectral cuts), has been obtained [Kontsevich and Vishik]

Properties

- The definition of the determinant $\det_{\zeta} A$ only depends on the homotopy class of the cut
- A zeta function (and corresponding determinant) with the same meromorphic structure in the complex s -plane and extending the ordinary definition to operators of complex order $m \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ (they do not admit spectral cuts), has been obtained [Kontsevich and Vishik]
- Asymptotic expansion for the heat kernel:

Properties

- The definition of the determinant $\det_{\zeta} A$ only depends on the homotopy class of the cut
- A zeta function (and corresponding determinant) with the same meromorphic structure in the complex s -plane and extending the ordinary definition to operators of complex order $m \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ (they do not admit spectral cuts), has been obtained [Kontsevich and Vishik]
- Asymptotic expansion for the heat kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} e^{-tA} &= \sum'_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} A} e^{-t\lambda} \\ &\sim \alpha_n(A) + \sum_{n \neq j \geq 0} \alpha_j(A) t^{-s_j} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \beta_k(A) t^k \ln t, \quad t \downarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_n(A) = \zeta_A(0), \quad \alpha_j(A) = \Gamma(s_j) \operatorname{Res}_{s=s_j} \zeta_A(s), \quad s_j \notin -\mathbb{N}$$

$$\alpha_j(A) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} [\operatorname{PP} \zeta_A(-k) + \psi(k+1) \operatorname{Res}_{s=-k} \zeta_A(s)],$$

$$\beta_k(A) = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} \operatorname{Res}_{s=-k} \zeta_A(s), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \quad s_j = -k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\operatorname{PP} \phi := \lim_{s \rightarrow p} \left[\phi(s) - \frac{\operatorname{Res}_{s=p} \phi(s)}{s-p} \right]$$

The Dixmier Trace

- In order to write down an action in operator language one needs a functional that replaces integration

The Dixmier Trace

- In order to write down an action in operator language one needs a functional that replaces integration
- For the Yang-Mills theory this is the **Dixmier trace**

The Dixmier Trace

- In order to write down an action in operator language one needs a functional that replaces integration
- For the Yang-Mills theory this is the **Dixmier trace**
- It is the **unique** extension of the usual trace to the ideal $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$ of the compact operators T such that the **partial sums of its spectrum diverge logarithmically** as the number of terms in the sum:

$$\sigma_N(T) := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu_j = \mathcal{O}(\log N), \quad \mu_0 \geq \mu_1 \geq \dots$$

The Dixmier Trace

- In order to write down an action in operator language one needs a functional that replaces integration
- For the Yang-Mills theory this is the **Dixmier trace**
- It is the **unique** extension of the usual trace to the ideal $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$ of the compact operators T such that the **partial sums of its spectrum diverge logarithmically** as the number of terms in the sum:

$$\sigma_N(T) := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu_j = \mathcal{O}(\log N), \quad \mu_0 \geq \mu_1 \geq \dots$$

- Definition of the Dixmier trace of T :

$$\text{Dtr } T = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sigma_N(T)$$

provided that the Cesaro means $M(\sigma)(N)$ of the sequence in N are convergent as $N \rightarrow \infty$ [remember: $M(f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\ln \lambda} \int_1^\lambda f(u) \frac{du}{u}$]

The Dixmier Trace

- In order to write down an action in operator language one needs a functional that replaces integration
- For the Yang-Mills theory this is the **Dixmier trace**
- It is the **unique** extension of the usual trace to the ideal $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$ of the compact operators T such that the **partial sums of its spectrum diverge logarithmically** as the number of terms in the sum:

$$\sigma_N(T) := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu_j = \mathcal{O}(\log N), \quad \mu_0 \geq \mu_1 \geq \dots$$

- Definition of the Dixmier trace of T :

$$\text{Dtr } T = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sigma_N(T)$$

provided that the Cesaro means $M(\sigma)(N)$ of the sequence in N are convergent as $N \rightarrow \infty$ [remember: $M(f)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\ln \lambda} \int_1^\lambda f(u) \frac{du}{u}$]

- The **Hardy-Littlewood theorem** can be stated in a way that connects the Dixmier trace with the residue of the zeta function of the operator T^{-1} at $s = 1$ [Connes]

$$\text{Dtr } T = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} (s - 1) \zeta_{T^{-1}}(s)$$

The Wodzicki Residue

- The **Wodzicki (or noncommutative) residue** is the **only** extension of the **Dixmier trace** to Ψ DOs which are not in $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$

The Wodzicki Residue

- The **Wodzicki (or noncommutative) residue** is the **only** extension of the **Dixmier trace** to Ψ DOs which are not in $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$
- **Only** trace one can define in the algebra of Ψ DOs (up to multipl const)

The Wodzicki Residue

- The **Wodzicki (or noncommutative) residue** is the **only** extension of the **Dixmier trace** to Ψ DOs which are not in $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$
- **Only** trace one can define in the algebra of Ψ DOs (up to multipl const)
- Definition: $\text{res } A = 2 \text{Res}_{s=0} \text{tr}(A\Delta^{-s})$, Δ Laplacian

The Wodzicki Residue

- The **Wodzicki (or noncommutative) residue** is the **only** extension of the **Dixmier trace** to Ψ DOs which are not in $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$
- **Only** trace one can define in the algebra of Ψ DOs (up to multipl const)
- Definition: $\text{res } A = 2 \text{Res}_{s=0} \text{tr} (A\Delta^{-s})$, Δ Laplacian
- Satisfies the trace condition: $\text{res} (AB) = \text{res} (BA)$

The Wodzicki Residue

- The **Wodzicki (or noncommutative) residue** is the **only** extension of the **Dixmier trace** to Ψ DOs which are not in $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$
- **Only** trace one can define in the algebra of Ψ DOs (up to multipl const)
- Definition: $\text{res } A = 2 \text{Res}_{s=0} \text{tr} (A\Delta^{-s})$, Δ Laplacian
- Satisfies the trace condition: $\text{res} (AB) = \text{res} (BA)$
- **Important!:** it can be expressed as an integral (local form)

$$\text{res } A = \int_{S^*M} \text{tr } a_{-n}(x, \xi) d\xi$$

with $S^*M \subset T^*M$ the co-sphere bundle on M (some authors put a coefficient in front of the integral: **Adler-Manin residue**)

The Wodzicki Residue

- The **Wodzicki (or noncommutative) residue** is the **only** extension of the **Dixmier trace** to Ψ DOs which are not in $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$
- **Only** trace one can define in the algebra of Ψ DOs (up to multipl const)
- Definition: $\text{res } A = 2 \text{Res}_{s=0} \text{tr} (A\Delta^{-s})$, Δ Laplacian
- Satisfies the trace condition: $\text{res} (AB) = \text{res} (BA)$
- **Important!:** it can be expressed as an integral (local form)

$$\text{res } A = \int_{S^*M} \text{tr } a_{-n}(x, \xi) d\xi$$

with $S^*M \subset T^*M$ the co-sphere bundle on M (some authors put a coefficient in front of the integral: **Adler-Manin residue**)

- If $\dim M = n = -\text{ord } A$ (M compact Riemann, A elliptic, $n \in \mathbb{N}$) it coincides with the **Dixmier trace**, and $\text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{n} \text{res } A^{-1}$

The Wodzicki Residue

- The **Wodzicki (or noncommutative) residue** is the **only** extension of the **Dixmier trace** to Ψ DOs which are not in $\mathcal{L}^{(1,\infty)}$
- **Only** trace one can define in the algebra of Ψ DOs (up to multipl const)
- Definition: $\text{res } A = 2 \text{Res}_{s=0} \text{tr} (A\Delta^{-s})$, Δ Laplacian
- Satisfies the trace condition: $\text{res} (AB) = \text{res} (BA)$
- **Important!:** it can be expressed as an integral (local form)

$$\text{res } A = \int_{S^*M} \text{tr } a_{-n}(x, \xi) d\xi$$

with $S^*M \subset T^*M$ the co-sphere bundle on M (some authors put a coefficient in front of the integral: **Adler-Manin residue**)

- If $\dim M = n = -\text{ord } A$ (M compact Riemann, A elliptic, $n \in \mathbb{N}$) it coincides with the **Dixmier trace**, and $\text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{n} \text{res } A^{-1}$
- The Wodzicki residue makes sense for Ψ DOs of **arbitrary order**. Even if the symbols $a_j(x, \xi)$, $j < m$, are not coordinate invariant, the integral is, and defines a trace

Singularities of ζ_A

- A complete determination of the meromorphic structure of some zeta functions in the complex plane can be also obtained by means of the Dixmier trace and the Wodzicki residue

Singularities of ζ_A

- A complete determination of the meromorphic structure of some zeta functions in the complex plane can be also obtained by means of the Dixmier trace and the Wodzicki residue
- Missing for full description of the singularities: **residua** of all poles

Singularities of ζ_A

- A complete determination of the meromorphic structure of some zeta functions in the complex plane can be also obtained by means of the Dixmier trace and the Wodzicki residue
- Missing for full description of the singularities: **residua** of all poles
- As for the regular part of the analytic continuation: specific methods have to be used (see later)

Singularities of ζ_A

- A complete determination of the meromorphic structure of some zeta functions in the complex plane can be also obtained by means of the Dixmier trace and the Wodzicki residue
- Missing for full description of the singularities: **residua** of all poles
- As for the regular part of the analytic continuation: specific methods have to be used (see later)
- **Proposition.** Under the conditions of existence of the zeta function of A , given above, and being the symbol $a(x, \xi)$ of the operator A analytic in ξ^{-1} at $\xi^{-1} = 0$:

$$\text{Res}_{s=s_k} \zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{m} \text{res } A^{-s_k} = \frac{1}{m} \int_{S^*M} \text{tr } a_{-n}^{-s_k}(x, \xi) d^{n-1}\xi$$

Singularities of ζ_A

- A complete determination of the meromorphic structure of some zeta functions in the complex plane can be also obtained by means of the Dixmier trace and the Wodzicki residue
- Missing for full descript of the singularities: **residua** of all poles
- As for the regular part of the analytic continuation: specific methods have to be used (see later)

● **Proposition.** Under the conditions of existence of the zeta function of A , given above, and being the symbol $a(x, \xi)$ of the operator A analytic in ξ^{-1} at $\xi^{-1} = 0$:

$$\text{Res}_{s=s_k} \zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{m} \text{res } A^{-s_k} = \frac{1}{m} \int_{S^*M} \text{tr } a_{-n}^{-s_k}(x, \xi) d^{n-1}\xi$$

● **Proof.** The homog component of degree $-n$ of the corresp power of the principal symbol of A is obtained by the appropriate derivative of a power of the symbol with respect to ξ^{-1} at $\xi^{-1} = 0$:

$$a_{-n}^{-s_k}(x, \xi) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{-1}} \right)^k \left[\xi^{n-k} a^{(k-n)/m}(x, \xi) \right] \Big|_{\xi^{-1}=0} \xi^{-n}$$

Multipl or N-Comm Anomaly, or Defect

- Given A , B , and AB ψ DOs, even if ζ_A , ζ_B , and ζ_{AB} exist, it turns out that, in general,

$$\det_{\zeta}(AB) \neq \det_{\zeta}A \det_{\zeta}B$$

Multipl or N-Comm Anomaly, or Defect

- Given A , B , and AB ψ DOs, even if ζ_A , ζ_B , and ζ_{AB} exist, it turns out that, in general,

$$\det_{\zeta}(AB) \neq \det_{\zeta} A \det_{\zeta} B$$

- The multiplicative (or noncommutative) anomaly (defect) is defined as

$$\delta(A, B) = \ln \left[\frac{\det_{\zeta}(AB)}{\det_{\zeta} A \det_{\zeta} B} \right] = -\zeta'_{AB}(0) + \zeta'_A(0) + \zeta'_B(0)$$

Multipl or N-Comm Anomaly, or Defect

- Given A , B , and AB ψ DOs, even if ζ_A , ζ_B , and ζ_{AB} exist, it turns out that, in general,

$$\det_{\zeta}(AB) \neq \det_{\zeta} A \det_{\zeta} B$$

- The multiplicative (or noncommutative) anomaly (defect) is defined as

$$\delta(A, B) = \ln \left[\frac{\det_{\zeta}(AB)}{\det_{\zeta} A \det_{\zeta} B} \right] = -\zeta'_{AB}(0) + \zeta'_A(0) + \zeta'_B(0)$$

- Wodzicki formula**

$$\delta(A, B) = \frac{\text{res} \{ [\ln \sigma(A, B)]^2 \}}{2 \text{ord } A \text{ord } B (\text{ord } A + \text{ord } B)}$$

where $\sigma(A, B) = A^{\text{ord } B} B^{-\text{ord } A}$

Consequences of the Multipl Anomaly

- In the **path integral** formulation

$$\int [d\Phi] \exp \left\{ - \int d^D x \left[\Phi^\dagger(x) (\quad) \Phi(x) + \dots \right] \right\}$$

Gaussian integration: $\longrightarrow \det (\quad)^\pm$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix}$$

$\det(AB)$ **or** $\det A \cdot \det B$?

Consequences of the Multipl Anomaly

- In the **path integral** formulation

$$\int [d\Phi] \exp \left\{ - \int d^D x \left[\Phi^\dagger(x) (\quad) \Phi(x) + \dots \right] \right\}$$

Gaussian integration: $\longrightarrow \det (\quad)^\pm$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix}$$

$\det(AB)$ **or** $\det A \cdot \det B$?

- In a situation where a **superselection** rule exists, AB has no sense (much less its determinant): $\implies \det A \cdot \det B$

Consequences of the Multipl Anomaly

- In the **path integral** formulation

$$\int [d\Phi] \exp \left\{ - \int d^D x \left[\Phi^\dagger(x) (\quad) \Phi(x) + \dots \right] \right\}$$

Gaussian integration: $\longrightarrow \det (\quad)^\pm$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{pmatrix}$$

$\det(AB)$ **or** $\det A \cdot \det B$?

- In a situation where a **superselection** rule exists, AB has no sense (much less its determinant): $\implies \det A \cdot \det B$
- But if diagonal form obtained after **change of basis** (diag. process), the preserved quantity is: $\implies \det(AB)$

The Chowla-Selberg Formula (CS)

- M. Lerch, *Sur quelques formules relatives du nombre des classes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 21 (1897) 290-304

The Chowla-Selberg Formula (CS)

- M. Lerch, *Sur quelques formules relatives du nombre des classes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 21 (1897) 290-304
- A. Selberg and S. Chowla, *On Epstein's Zeta function (I)*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 35 (1949) 371-74

The Chowla-Selberg Formula (CS)

- M. Lerch, *Sur quelques formules relatives du nombre des classes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 21 (1897) 290-304
- A. Selberg and S. Chowla, *On Epstein's Zeta function (I)*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 35 (1949) 371-74
- S. Chowla and A. Selberg, *On Epstein's Zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's J.) 227 (1967) 86-110

The Chowla-Selberg Formula (CS)

- M. Lerch, *Sur quelques formules relatives du nombre des classes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 21 (1897) 290-304
- A. Selberg and S. Chowla, *On Epstein's Zeta function (I)*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 35 (1949) 371-74
- S. Chowla and A. Selberg, *On Epstein's Zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's J.) 227 (1967) 86-110
- K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas*, Ann. Math. 80 (1964) 104-148

The Chowla-Selberg Formula (CS)

- M. Lerch, *Sur quelques formules relatives du nombre des classes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 21 (1897) 290-304
- A. Selberg and S. Chowla, *On Epstein's Zeta function (I)*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 35 (1949) 371-74
- S. Chowla and A. Selberg, *On Epstein's Zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's J.) 227 (1967) 86-110
- K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas*, Ann. Math. 80 (1964) 104-148
- A. Weil, *Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker* (Springer, Berlin, 1976)

The Chowla-Selberg Formula (CS)

- M. Lerch, *Sur quelques formules relatives du nombre des classes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 21 (1897) 290-304
- A. Selberg and S. Chowla, *On Epstein's Zeta function (I)*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 35 (1949) 371-74
- S. Chowla and A. Selberg, *On Epstein's Zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's J.) 227 (1967) 86-110
- K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas*, Ann. Math. 80 (1964) 104-148
- A. Weil, *Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker* (Springer, Berlin, 1976)
- S. Iyanaga and Y. Kawada, Eds., *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Mathematics*, Vol. II (The MIT Press, Cambridge, 1977), pp. 1378-79

The Chowla-Selberg Formula (CS)

- M. Lerch, *Sur quelques formules relatives du nombre des classes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 21 (1897) 290-304
- A. Selberg and S. Chowla, *On Epstein's Zeta function (I)*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 35 (1949) 371-74
- S. Chowla and A. Selberg, *On Epstein's Zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's J.) 227 (1967) 86-110
- K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas*, Ann. Math. 80 (1964) 104-148
- A. Weil, *Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker* (Springer, Berlin, 1976)
- S. Iyanaga and Y. Kawada, Eds., *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Mathematics*, Vol. II (The MIT Press, Cambridge, 1977), pp. 1378-79
- B.H. Gross, *On the periods of abelian integrals and a formula of Chowla and Selberg*, Inv. Math. 45 (1978) 193-211

The Chowla-Selberg Formula (CS)

- M. Lerch, *Sur quelques formules relatives du nombre des classes*, Bull. Sci. Math. 21 (1897) 290-304
- A. Selberg and S. Chowla, *On Epstein's Zeta function (I)*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 35 (1949) 371-74
- S. Chowla and A. Selberg, *On Epstein's Zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's J.) 227 (1967) 86-110
- K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas*, Ann. Math. 80 (1964) 104-148
- A. Weil, *Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker* (Springer, Berlin, 1976)
- S. Iyanaga and Y. Kawada, Eds., *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Mathematics*, Vol. II (The MIT Press, Cambridge, 1977), pp. 1378-79
- B.H. Gross, *On the periods of abelian integrals and a formula of Chowla and Selberg*, Inv. Math. 45 (1978) 193-211
- P. Deligne, *Valeurs de fonctions L et periodes d'integrales*, PSPM 33 (1979) 313-346

History

- Lerch (1897):

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{|D|} \left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \log \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda}{D}\right) = h \log |D| - \frac{h}{3} \log(2\pi) - \sum_{(a,b,c)} \log a + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{(a,b,c)} \log [\theta'_1(0|\alpha)\theta'_1(0|\beta)]$$

D discriminant, $\theta'_1 \sim \eta^3$

h class number of binary quadratic forms (a, b, c)

History

- Lerch (1897):

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{|D|} \left(\frac{D}{\lambda}\right) \log \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda}{D}\right) = h \log |D| - \frac{h}{3} \log(2\pi) - \sum_{(a,b,c)} \log a + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{(a,b,c)} \log [\theta'_1(0|\alpha)\theta'_1(0|\beta)]$$

D discriminant, $\theta'_1 \sim \eta^3$

h class number of binary quadratic forms (a, b, c)

- Eta evaluations Dedekind eta function for $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n), \quad q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$$

It is a 24-th root of the discriminant function $\Delta(\tau)$ of an elliptic curve \mathbb{C}/L from a lattice $L = \{a\tau + b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$$\Delta(\tau) = (2\pi)^{12} q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24}$$

Properties & Recent Results

⇒ The C-S formula gives the value of a product of eta functions

Properties & Recent Results

- ⇒ The C-S formula gives the value of a product of eta functions
- ⇒ If there is only one form in the class, it yields the value of a single eta function in terms of gamma functions

Properties & Recent Results

- ⇒ The C-S formula gives the value of a product of eta functions
- ⇒ If there is only one form in the class, it yields the value of a single eta function in terms of gamma functions
- ⇒ Long series of improvements: Kaneko (90), Nakajima and Taguchi (91), Williams et al. (95)

Properties & Recent Results

- ⇒ The C-S formula gives the value of a product of eta functions
- ⇒ If there is only one form in the class, it yields the value of a single eta function in terms of gamma functions
- ⇒ Long series of improvements: Kaneko (90), Nakajima and Taguchi (91), Williams et al. (95)
- ⇒ In the last 5 years the C-S formula has been 'broken' to isolate the eta functions:
Williams, van Poorten, Chapman, Hart

Properties & Recent Results

- ⇒ The C-S formula gives the value of a product of eta functions
- ⇒ If there is only one form in the class, it yields the value of a single eta function in terms of gamma functions
- ⇒ Long series of improvements: Kaneko (90), Nakajima and Taguchi (91), Williams et al. (95)
- ⇒ In the last 5 years the C-S formula has been 'broken' to isolate the eta functions:
Williams, van Poorten, Chapman, Hart
- R. Chapman and W.B. Hart, Evaluation of the Dedekind eta function, Can. Math. Bull. (2005)

Properties & Recent Results

- ⇒ The C-S formula gives the value of a product of eta functions
- ⇒ If there is only one form in the class, it yields the value of a single eta function in terms of gamma functions
- ⇒ Long series of improvements: Kaneko (90), Nakajima and Taguchi (91), Williams et al. (95)
- ⇒ In the last 5 years the C-S formula has been 'broken' to isolate the eta functions:
Williams, van Poorten, Chapman, Hart
 - R. Chapman and W.B. Hart, Evaluation of the Dedekind eta function, Can. Math. Bull. (2005)
 - W.B. Hart, PhD Thesis, 2004 (Macquarie U., Sidney)

Basic strategies

- Jacobi's identity for the θ -function

$$\theta_3(z, \tau) := 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \cos(2nz), \quad q := e^{i\pi\tau}, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\theta_3(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-i\tau}} e^{z^2/i\pi\tau} \theta_3\left(\frac{z}{\tau} \middle| \frac{-1}{\tau}\right) \quad \text{equivalently:}$$

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(n+z)^2 t} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{t}} \cos(2\pi n z), \quad z, t \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} t > 0$$

Basic strategies

- Jacobi's identity for the θ -function

$$\theta_3(z, \tau) := 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \cos(2nz), \quad q := e^{i\pi\tau}, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\theta_3(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-i\tau}} e^{z^2/i\pi\tau} \theta_3\left(\frac{z}{\tau} \middle| \frac{-1}{\tau}\right) \quad \text{equivalently:}$$

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(n+z)^2 t} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{t}} \cos(2\pi n z), \quad z, t \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} t > 0$$

- Higher dimensions: Poisson summ formula (Riemann)

$$\sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} f(\vec{n}) = \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} \tilde{f}(\vec{m})$$

\tilde{f} Fourier transform

[Gelbart + Miller, BAMS '03, Iwaniec, Morgan, ICM '06]

Basic strategies

- Jacobi's identity for the θ -function

$$\theta_3(z, \tau) := 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \cos(2nz), \quad q := e^{i\pi\tau}, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\theta_3(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-i\tau}} e^{z^2/i\pi\tau} \theta_3\left(\frac{z}{\tau} \middle| \frac{-1}{\tau}\right) \quad \text{equivalently:}$$

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(n+z)^2 t} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{t}} \cos(2\pi n z), \quad z, t \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} t > 0$$

- Higher dimensions: Poisson summ formula (Riemann)

$$\sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} f(\vec{n}) = \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} \tilde{f}(\vec{m})$$

\tilde{f} Fourier transform

[Gelbart + Miller, BAMS '03, Iwaniec, Morgan, ICM '06]

- Truncated sums \longrightarrow asymptotic series

Extended CS Formulas (ECS)

- Consider the zeta function ($\text{Re } s > p/2, A > 0, \text{Re } q > 0$)

$$\zeta_{A, \vec{c}, q}(s) = \sum'_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\vec{n} + \vec{c})^T A (\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q \right]^{-s} = \sum'_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} [Q(\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q]^{-s}$$

prime: point $\vec{n} = \vec{0}$ to be excluded from the sum

(inescapable condition when $c_1 = \dots = c_p = q = 0$)

$$Q(\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q = Q(\vec{n}) + L(\vec{n}) + \bar{q}$$

Extended CS Formulas (ECS)

- Consider the zeta function ($\text{Re } s > p/2, A > 0, \text{Re } q > 0$)

$$\zeta_{A, \vec{c}, q}(s) = \sum'_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\vec{n} + \vec{c})^T A (\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q \right]^{-s} = \sum'_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} [Q(\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q]^{-s}$$

prime: point $\vec{n} = \vec{0}$ to be excluded from the sum

(inescapable condition when $c_1 = \dots = c_p = q = 0$)

$$Q(\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q = Q(\vec{n}) + L(\vec{n}) + \bar{q}$$

- Case** $q \neq 0$ ($\text{Re } q > 0$)

$$\zeta_{A, \vec{c}, q}(s) = \frac{(2\pi)^{p/2} q^{p/2-s}}{\sqrt{\det A}} \frac{\Gamma(s - p/2)}{\Gamma(s)} + \frac{2^{s/2+p/4+2} \pi^s q^{-s/2+p/4}}{\sqrt{\det A} \Gamma(s)}$$

$$\times \sum'_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{1/2}^p} \cos(2\pi \vec{m} \cdot \vec{c}) (\vec{m}^T A^{-1} \vec{m})^{s/2-p/4} K_{p/2-s} \left(2\pi \sqrt{2q \vec{m}^T A^{-1} \vec{m}} \right)$$

[ECS1]

Extended CS Formulas (ECS)

- Consider the zeta function ($\text{Re } s > p/2, A > 0, \text{Re } q > 0$)

$$\zeta_{A,\vec{c},q}(s) = \sum'_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\vec{n} + \vec{c})^T A (\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q \right]^{-s} = \sum'_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} [Q(\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q]^{-s}$$

prime: point $\vec{n} = \vec{0}$ to be excluded from the sum

(inescapable condition when $c_1 = \dots = c_p = q = 0$)

$$Q(\vec{n} + \vec{c}) + q = Q(\vec{n}) + L(\vec{n}) + \bar{q}$$

- Case** $q \neq 0$ ($\text{Re } q > 0$)

$$\zeta_{A,\vec{c},q}(s) = \frac{(2\pi)^{p/2} q^{p/2-s}}{\sqrt{\det A}} \frac{\Gamma(s - p/2)}{\Gamma(s)} + \frac{2^{s/2+p/4+2} \pi^s q^{-s/2+p/4}}{\sqrt{\det A} \Gamma(s)}$$

$$\times \sum'_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{1/2}^p} \cos(2\pi \vec{m} \cdot \vec{c}) (\vec{m}^T A^{-1} \vec{m})^{s/2-p/4} K_{p/2-s} \left(2\pi \sqrt{2q \vec{m}^T A^{-1} \vec{m}} \right)$$

[ECS1]

- Pole:** $s = p/2$

Residue:

$$\text{Res}_{s=p/2} \zeta_{A,\vec{c},q}(s) = \frac{(2\pi)^{p/2}}{\Gamma(p/2)} (\det A)^{-1/2}$$

- Gives (analytic cont of) multidimensional zeta function in terms of an **exponentially convergent** multiserries, valid in the **whole** complex plane

- Gives (analytic cont of) multidimensional zeta function in terms of an **exponentially convergent** multiseria, valid in the **whole** complex plane
- Exhibits singularities (**simple poles**) of the meromorphic continuation —with the corresponding **residua**— **explicitly**

- Gives (analytic cont of) multidimensional zeta function in terms of an **exponentially convergent** multiserie, valid in the **whole** complex plane
- Exhibits singularities (**simple poles**) of the meromorphic continuation —with the corresponding **residua**— **explicitly**
- Only condition on matrix A : corresponds to **(non negative) quadratic form, Q** . Vector \vec{c} **arbitrary**, while q is (to start) a non-neg constant

- Gives (analytic cont of) multidimensional zeta function in terms of an **exponentially convergent** multiserries, valid in the **whole** complex plane
- Exhibits singularities (**simple poles**) of the meromorphic continuation —with the corresponding **residua**— **explicitly**
- Only condition on matrix A : corresponds to **(non negative) quadratic form, Q** . Vector \vec{c} **arbitrary**, while q is (to start) a non-neg constant
- K_ν modified Bessel function of the second kind and the subindex **$1/2$** in $\mathbb{Z}_{1/2}^p$ means that only **half of the vectors** $\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ participate in the sum. E.g., if we take an $\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ we must then exclude $-\vec{m}$
[simple criterion: one may select those vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^p \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ whose **first non-zero component is positive**]

- Gives (analytic cont of) multidimensional zeta function in terms of an **exponentially convergent** multiseriess, valid in the **whole** complex plane
- Exhibits singularities (**simple poles**) of the meromorphic continuation —with the corresponding **residua**— **explicitly**
- Only condition on matrix A : corresponds to (**non negative**) quadratic form, Q . Vector \vec{c} **arbitrary**, while q is (to start) a non-neg constant
- K_ν modified Bessel function of the second kind and the subindex $1/2$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{1/2}^p$ means that only **half of the vectors** $\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ participate in the sum. E.g., if we take an $\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ we must then exclude $-\vec{m}$ [simple criterion: one may select those vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^p \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ whose **first non-zero component is positive**]
- **Case** $c_1 = \dots = c_p = q = 0$ [true extens of CS, diag subcase]

$$\zeta_{A_p}(s) = \frac{2^{1+s}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\det A_j)^{-1/2} \left[\pi^{j/2} a_{p-j}^{j/2-s} \Gamma\left(s - \frac{j}{2}\right) \zeta_R(2s-j) + \right. \\ \left. 4\pi^s a_{p-j}^{\frac{j}{4} - \frac{s}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum'_{\vec{m}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^j} n^{j/2-s} (\vec{m}_j^t A_j^{-1} \vec{m}_j)^{s/2-j/4} K_{j/2-s} \left(2\pi n \sqrt{a_{p-j} \vec{m}_j^t A_j^{-1} \vec{m}_j} \right) \right]$$

[ECS3d]

QFT in s-t with **non-comm** toroidal part

- D -dim non-commut manifold: $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,d} \otimes \mathbb{T}_\theta^p$, $D = d + p + 1$
 \mathbb{T}_θ^p a p -dim non-commutative torus: $[x_j, x_k] = i\theta\sigma_{jk}$
 σ_{jk} a real, nonsingular, antisymmetric matrix of ± 1 entries
 θ the non-commutative parameter.

QFT in s-t with **non-comm** toroidal part

- D -dim non-commut manifold: $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,d} \otimes \mathbb{T}_\theta^p$, $D = d + p + 1$
 \mathbb{T}_θ^p a p -dim non-commutative torus: $[x_j, x_k] = i\theta\sigma_{jk}$
 σ_{jk} a real, nonsingular, antisymmetric matrix of ± 1 entries
 θ the non-commutative parameter.
- Interest recently, in connection with M -theory & string theory
[Connes, Douglas, Seiberg, Cheung, Chu, Chomerus, Ardalan, ...]

QFT in s-t with non-comm toroidal part

- D -dim non-commut manifold: $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,d} \otimes \mathbb{T}_\theta^p$, $D = d + p + 1$
 \mathbb{T}_θ^p a p -dim non-commutative torus: $[x_j, x_k] = i\theta\sigma_{jk}$
 σ_{jk} a real, nonsingular, antisymmetric matrix of ± 1 entries
 θ the non-commutative parameter.

- Interest recently, in connection with M -theory & string theory
 [Connes, Douglas, Seiberg, Cheung, Chu, Chomerus, Ardlan, ...]

- Unified treatment: only one zeta function, nature of field (bosonic, fermionic) as a parameter, together with # of compact, noncompact, and noncommutative dimensions

$$\zeta_\alpha(s) = \frac{V \Gamma(s - (d+1)/2)}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2} \Gamma(s)} \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^p} ' Q(\vec{n})^{(d+1)/2-s} [1 + \Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha} Q(\vec{n})^{-\alpha}]^{(d+1)/2-s}$$

$\alpha = 2$ bos, $\alpha = 3$ ferm, $V = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ of non-compact part

$Q(\vec{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^p a_j n_j^2$ a diag quadratic form, $R_j = a_j^{-1/2}$ compactific radii

● After some calculations,

$$\zeta_{\alpha}(s) = \frac{V}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+l-\frac{d+1}{2})}{l! \Gamma(s)} (-\Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha})^l \zeta_{Q, \vec{0}, 0}(s+\alpha l - \frac{d+1}{2})$$

for all radii equal to R , with $I(\vec{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^p n_j^2$,

$$\zeta_{\alpha}(s) = \frac{V}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2} R^{d+1-2s}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+l-\frac{d+1}{2})}{l! \Gamma(s)} (-\Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha})^l \zeta_E(s+\alpha l - \frac{d+1}{2})$$

where we use the notation $\zeta_E(s) := \zeta_{I, \vec{0}, 0}(s)$

e.g., the Epstein zeta function for the standard quadratic form

- After some calculations,

$$\zeta_{\alpha}(s) = \frac{V}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+l-\frac{d+1}{2})}{l! \Gamma(s)} (-\Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha})^l \zeta_{Q, \vec{0}, 0}(s + \alpha l - \frac{d+1}{2})$$

for all radii equal to R , with $I(\vec{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^p n_j^2$,

$$\zeta_{\alpha}(s) = \frac{V}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2} R^{d+1-2s}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+l-\frac{d+1}{2})}{l! \Gamma(s)} (-\Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha})^l \zeta_E(s + \alpha l - \frac{d+1}{2})$$

where we use the notation $\zeta_E(s) := \zeta_{I, \vec{0}, 0}(s)$

e.g., the Epstein zeta function for the standard quadratic form

- **Rich pole structure:** pole of Epstein zf at $s = p/2 - \alpha k + (d+1)/2 = D/2 - \alpha k$, combined with poles of Γ , yields a rich pattern of singular for $\zeta_{\alpha}(s)$

- After some calculations,

$$\zeta_{\alpha}(s) = \frac{V}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+l-\frac{d+1}{2})}{l! \Gamma(s)} (-\Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha})^l \zeta_{Q, \vec{0}, 0}(s+\alpha l - \frac{d+1}{2})$$

for all radii equal to R , with $I(\vec{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^p n_j^2$,

$$\zeta_{\alpha}(s) = \frac{V}{(4\pi)^{(d+1)/2} R^{d+1-2s}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+l-\frac{d+1}{2})}{l! \Gamma(s)} (-\Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha})^l \zeta_E(s+\alpha l - \frac{d+1}{2})$$

where we use the notation $\zeta_E(s) := \zeta_{I, \vec{0}, 0}(s)$

e.g., the Epstein zeta function for the standard quadratic form

- **Rich pole structure:** pole of Epstein zf at $s = p/2 - \alpha k + (d+1)/2 = D/2 - \alpha k$, combined with poles of Γ , yields a rich pattern of singular for $\zeta_{\alpha}(s)$
- **Classify** the different possible cases according to the values of d and $D = d + p + 1$. We obtain, at $s = 0$:

$$\text{For } d = 2k \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } D \neq \overline{2\alpha} \implies \zeta_\alpha(0) = 0 \\ \text{if } D = \overline{2\alpha} \implies \zeta_\alpha(0) = \text{finite} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{For } d = 2k - 1 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } D \neq \overline{2\alpha} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite, for } l \leq k \\ 0, \text{ for } l > k \end{array} \right\} \implies \zeta_\alpha(0) = \text{finite} \\ \text{if } D = 2\alpha l \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pole, for } l \leq k \\ \text{finite, for } l > k \end{array} \right\} \implies \zeta_\alpha(0) = \text{pole} \end{array} \right.$$

- Pole structure of the zeta function $\zeta_\alpha(s)$, at $s = 0$, according to the different possible values of d and D ($\overline{2\alpha}$ means multiple of 2α)

$$\text{For } d = 2k \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } D \neq \overline{2\alpha} \implies \zeta_\alpha(0) = 0 \\ \text{if } D = \overline{2\alpha} \implies \zeta_\alpha(0) = \text{finite} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{For } d = 2k - 1 \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } D \neq \overline{2\alpha} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite, for } l \leq k \\ 0, \text{ for } l > k \end{array} \right\} \implies \zeta_\alpha(0) = \text{finite} \\ \text{if } D = 2\alpha l \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pole, for } l \leq k \\ \text{finite, for } l > k \end{array} \right\} \implies \zeta_\alpha(0) = \text{pole} \end{cases}$$

– Pole structure of the zeta function $\zeta_\alpha(s)$, at $s = 0$, according to the different possible values of d and D ($\overline{2\alpha}$ means multiple of 2α)

\implies Explicit analytic continuation of $\zeta_\alpha(s)$, $\alpha = 2, 3$,
& specific pole structure

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_\alpha(s) &= \frac{2^{s-d} V}{(2\pi)^{(d+1)/2} \Gamma(s)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+l-(d+1)/2)}{l! \Gamma(s+\alpha l-(d+1)/2)} (-2^\alpha \Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha})^l \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\det A_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\times \left[\pi^{j/2} a_{p-j}^{-s-\alpha l+(d+j+1)/2} \Gamma(s+\alpha l-(d+j+1)/2) \zeta_R(2s+2\alpha l-d-j-1) \right. \\
&\quad + 4\pi^{s+\alpha l-(d+1)/2} a_{p-j}^{-(s+\alpha l)/2-(d+j+1)/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{m}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^j} ' n^{(d+j+1)/2-s-\alpha l} \\
&\quad \times \left. \left(\vec{m}_j^t A_j^{-1} \vec{m}_j \right)^{(s+\alpha l)/2-(d+j+1)/4} K_{(d+j+1)/2-s-\alpha l} \left(2\pi n \sqrt{a_{p-j} \vec{m}_j^t A_j^{-1} \vec{m}_j} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\zeta_\alpha(s) = \frac{2^{s-d} V}{(2\pi)^{(d+1)/2} \Gamma(s)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(s+l-(d+1)/2)}{l! \Gamma(s+\alpha l-(d+1)/2)} (-2^\alpha \Lambda \theta^{2-2\alpha})^l \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\det A_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times \left[\pi^{j/2} a_{p-j}^{-s-\alpha l+(d+j+1)/2} \Gamma(s+\alpha l-(d+j+1)/2) \zeta_R(2s+2\alpha l-d-j-1) \right.$$

$$+ 4\pi^{s+\alpha l-(d+1)/2} a_{p-j}^{-(s+\alpha l)/2-(d+j+1)/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{m}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^j} ' n^{(d+j+1)/2-s-\alpha l}$$

$$\left. \times \left(\vec{m}_j^t A_j^{-1} \vec{m}_j \right)^{(s+\alpha l)/2-(d+j+1)/4} K_{(d+j+1)/2-s-\alpha l} \left(2\pi n \sqrt{a_{p-j} \vec{m}_j^t A_j^{-1} \vec{m}_j} \right) \right]$$

$p \setminus D$	even	odd
odd	(1a) pole / finite ($l \geq l_1$)	(2a) pole / pole
even	(1b) double pole / pole ($l \geq l_1, l_2$)	(2b) pole / double pole ($l \geq l_2$)

- **General pole structure** of $\zeta_\alpha(s)$, for the possible values of D and p being odd or even. **Magenta**, type of behavior corresponding to **lower** values of l ; behavior in **blue** corresponds to **larger** values of l

Quantum Vacuum Fluct's & the CC

● The main issue:

energy **ALWAYS** gravitates, **therefore** the energy density of the vacuum, **more precisely**, the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle \equiv -\mathcal{E} g_{\mu\nu}$$

Quantum Vacuum Fluct's & the CC

- The main issue:

energy **ALWAYS** gravitates, **therefore** the energy density of the vacuum, **more precisely**, the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle \equiv -\mathcal{E}g_{\mu\nu}$

- Appears on the rhs of Einstein's equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -8\pi G(\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{E}g_{\mu\nu})$$

It affects **cosmology**: $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ excitations above the vacuum

Quantum Vacuum Fluct's & the CC

- The main issue:

energy **ALWAYS** gravitates, **therefore** the energy density of the vacuum, **more precisely**, the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle \equiv -\mathcal{E}g_{\mu\nu}$

- Appears on the rhs of Einstein's equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -8\pi G(\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{E}g_{\mu\nu})$$

It affects **cosmology**: $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ excitations above the vacuum

- Equivalent to a **cosmological constant** $\lambda = 8\pi G\mathcal{E}$

Quantum Vacuum Fluct's & the CC

- The main issue:

energy **ALWAYS** gravitates, **therefore** the energy density of the vacuum, **more precisely**, the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle \equiv -\mathcal{E}g_{\mu\nu}$

- Appears on the rhs of Einstein's equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -8\pi G(\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{E}g_{\mu\nu})$$

It affects **cosmology**: $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ excitations above the vacuum

- Equivalent to a **cosmological constant** $\lambda = 8\pi G\mathcal{E}$

- **Recent observations**: M. Tegmark *et al.* [SDSS Collab.] PRD 2004

$$\lambda = (2.14 \pm 0.13 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4 \sim 4.32 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg/cm}^3$$

Quantum Vacuum Fluct's & the CC

- The main issue:

energy **ALWAYS** gravitates, **therefore** the energy density of the vacuum, **more precisely**, the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle \equiv -\mathcal{E}g_{\mu\nu}$

- Appears on the rhs of Einstein's equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -8\pi G(\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{E}g_{\mu\nu})$$

It affects **cosmology**: $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ excitations above the vacuum

- Equivalent to a **cosmological constant** $\lambda = 8\pi G\mathcal{E}$

- **Recent observations**: M. Tegmark *et al.* [SDSS Collab.] PRD 2004

$$\lambda = (2.14 \pm 0.13 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4 \sim 4.32 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg/cm}^3$$

- **Idea**: zero point fluctuations can contribute to the

cosmological constant

Ya.B. Zeldovich '68

- Relativistic field: collection of harmonic oscill's (scalar field)

$$E_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{2} \sum_n \omega_n, \quad \omega = k^2 + m^2/\hbar^2, \quad k = 2\pi/\lambda$$

- Relativistic field: collection of harmonic oscill's (scalar field)

$$E_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{2} \sum_n \omega_n, \quad \omega = k^2 + m^2/\hbar^2, \quad k = 2\pi/\lambda$$

- Evaluating in a box and putting a cut-off at maximum k_{max} corresp'ng to QFT physics (e.g., Planck energy)

$$\rho \sim \frac{\hbar k_{Planck}^4}{16\pi^2} \sim 10^{123} \rho_{obs}$$

kind of a modern (and thick!) **aether**

R. Caldwell, S. Carroll, ...

- Relativistic field: collection of harmonic oscill's (scalar field)

$$E_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{2} \sum_n \omega_n, \quad \omega = k^2 + m^2/\hbar^2, \quad k = 2\pi/\lambda$$

- Evaluating in a box and putting a cut-off at maximum k_{max} corresp'ng to QFT physics (e.g., Planck energy)

$$\rho \sim \frac{\hbar k_{Planck}^4}{16\pi^2} \sim 10^{123} \rho_{obs}$$

kind of a modern (and thick!) **aether**

R. Caldwell, S. Carroll, ...

- **Observational tests** see nothing (or **very little**) of it:

⇒ (new) cosmological constant problem

- Relativistic field: collection of harmonic oscill's (scalar field)

$$E_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{2} \sum_n \omega_n, \quad \omega = k^2 + m^2/\hbar^2, \quad k = 2\pi/\lambda$$

- Evaluating in a box and putting a cut-off at maximum k_{max} corresp'ng to QFT physics (e.g., Planck energy)

$$\rho \sim \frac{\hbar k_{Planck}^4}{16\pi^2} \sim 10^{123} \rho_{obs}$$

kind of a modern (and thick!) **aether**

R. Caldwell, S. Carroll, ...

- **Observational tests** see nothing (or **very little**) of it:

⇒ (new) cosmological constant problem

- Very difficult to solve and we **do not** address this question directly
[Baum, Hawking, Coleman, Polchinsky, Weinberg,...]

- Relativistic field: collection of harmonic oscill's (scalar field)

$$E_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{2} \sum_n \omega_n, \quad \omega = k^2 + m^2/\hbar^2, \quad k = 2\pi/\lambda$$

- Evaluating in a box and putting a cut-off at maximum k_{max} corresp'ng to QFT physics (e.g., Planck energy)

$$\rho \sim \frac{\hbar k_{Planck}^4}{16\pi^2} \sim 10^{123} \rho_{obs}$$

kind of a modern (and thick!) **aether**

R. Caldwell, S. Carroll, ...

- **Observational tests** see nothing (or **very little**) of it:

⇒ **(new) cosmological constant problem**

- Very difficult to solve and we **do not** address this question directly
[**Baum, Hawking, Coleman, Polchinsky, Weinberg,...**]

- What we **do consider** —with relative success in some different approaches— is the **additional** contribution to the cc coming from the **non-trivial topology** of space or from specific **boundary conditions** imposed on braneworld models:

⇒ **kind of cosmological Casimir effect**

Cosmo-Topological Casimir Effect

- Assuming one will be able to prove (in the future) that the ground value of the cc is **zero** (as many had suspected until recently), we will be left with this **incremental value** coming from the topology or BCs
 - * **L. Parker & A. Raval**, Λ CDM, vacuum energy density
 - * **C.P. Burgess et al.**, [hep-th/0606020](#) & [0510123](#): Susy Large Extra Dims (SLED), two 10^{-2} mm dims, bulk vs brane Susy breaking scales
 - * **T. Padmanabhan**, [gr-qc/0606061](#): Holographic Perspective, CC is an intg const, no response of gravity to changes in bulk vac energy dens

Cosmo-Topological Casimir Effect

- Assuming one will be able to prove (in the future) that the ground value of the cc is **zero** (as many had suspected until recently), we will be left with this **incremental value** coming from the topology or BCs
 - * **L. Parker & A. Raval**, Λ CDM, vacuum energy density
 - * **C.P. Burgess et al.**, [hep-th/0606020](#) & [0510123](#): Susy Large Extra Dims (SLED), two 10^{-2} mm dims, bulk vs brane Susy breaking scales
 - * **T. Padmanabhan**, [gr-qc/0606061](#): Holographic Perspective, CC is an intg const, no response of gravity to changes in bulk vac energy dens
- We show (with different examples) that this value acquires the **correct order of magnitude** —corresponding to the one coming from the observed acceleration in the expansion of our universe— in some reasonable models involving:

Cosmo-Topological Casimir Effect

- Assuming one will be able to prove (in the future) that the ground value of the cc is **zero** (as many had suspected until recently), we will be left with this **incremental value** coming from the topology or BCs
 - * **L. Parker & A. Raval**, Λ CDM, vacuum energy density
 - * **C.P. Burgess et al.**, [hep-th/0606020](#) & [0510123](#): Susy Large Extra Dims (SLED), two 10^{-2} mm dims, bulk vs brane Susy breaking scales
 - * **T. Padmanabhan**, [gr-qc/0606061](#): Holographic Perspective, CC is an intg const, no response of gravity to changes in bulk vac energy dens
- We show (with different examples) that this value acquires the **correct order of magnitude** —corresponding to the one coming from the observed acceleration in the expansion of our universe— in some reasonable models involving:
 - **(a) small and large compactified scales**

Cosmo-Topological Casimir Effect

- Assuming one will be able to prove (in the future) that the ground value of the cc is **zero** (as many had suspected until recently), we will be left with this **incremental value** coming from the topology or BCs
 - * **L. Parker & A. Raval**, Λ CDM, vacuum energy density
 - * **C.P. Burgess et al.**, [hep-th/0606020](#) & [0510123](#): Susy Large Extra Dims (SLED), two 10^{-2} mm dims, bulk vs brane Susy breaking scales
 - * **T. Padmanabhan**, [gr-qc/0606061](#): Holographic Perspective, CC is an intg const, no response of gravity to changes in bulk vac energy dens
- We show (with different examples) that this value acquires the **correct order of magnitude** —corresponding to the one coming from the observed acceleration in the expansion of our universe— in some reasonable models involving:
 - **(a)** **small and large compactified scales**
 - **(b)** **dS & AdS worldbranes**

Cosmo-Topological Casimir Effect

- Assuming one will be able to prove (in the future) that the ground value of the cc is **zero** (as many had suspected until recently), we will be left with this **incremental value** coming from the topology or BCs
 - * **L. Parker & A. Raval**, Λ CDM, vacuum energy density
 - * **C.P. Burgess et al.**, [hep-th/0606020](#) & [0510123](#): Susy Large Extra Dims (SLED), two 10^{-2} mm dims, bulk vs brane Susy breaking scales
 - * **T. Padmanabhan**, [gr-qc/0606061](#): Holographic Perspective, CC is an intg const, no response of gravity to changes in bulk vac energy dens
- We show (with different examples) that this value acquires the **correct order of magnitude** —corresponding to the one coming from the observed acceleration in the expansion of our universe— in some reasonable models involving:
 - **(a)** small and large compactified scales
 - **(b)** dS & AdS worldbranes
 - **(c)** supergraviton theories (discret dims, deconstr)

A. Simple model: large & small dim's

● Space-time: $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times T^p \times T^q$, $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times T^p \times S^q$, ...

A. Simple model: large & small dim's

- Space-time: $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^p \times \mathbb{T}^q$, $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$, ...
- Scalar field, ϕ , pervading the universe ($\hbar = c = 1$)

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi^* + (m^2 + \xi R) \phi \phi^*]$$

A. Simple model: large & small dim's

• Space-time: $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^p \times \mathbb{T}^q, \quad \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q, \quad \dots$

• Scalar field, ϕ , pervading the universe ($\hbar = c = 1$)

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi^* + (m^2 + \xi R) \phi \phi^*]$$

• ρ_ϕ contribution to ρ_V from this field

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\mu} (k^2 + M^2)^{1/2}$$

A. Simple model: large & small dim's

• Space-time: $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^p \times \mathbb{T}^q, \quad \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q, \quad \dots$

• Scalar field, ϕ , pervading the universe ($\hbar = c = 1$)

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi^* + (m^2 + \xi R) \phi \phi^*]$$

• ρ_ϕ contribution to ρ_V from this field

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\mu} (k^2 + M^2)^{1/2}$$

• \sum_i and $\sum_{\mathbf{k}}$ are generalized sums, μ mass-dim parameter

A. Simple model: large & small dim's

• Space-time: $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^p \times \mathbb{T}^q$, $\mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{T}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$, ...

• Scalar field, ϕ , pervading the universe ($\hbar = c = 1$)

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi^* + (m^2 + \xi R) \phi \phi^*]$$

• ρ_ϕ contribution to ρ_V from this field

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\mu} (k^2 + M^2)^{1/2}$$

• \sum_i and $\sum_{\mathbf{k}}$ are generalized sums, μ mass-dim parameter

• M effective mass term, m arbitrarily small

(a tiny mass for the field cannot be excluded, and fits well)

* L. Parker & A. Raval, PRL86 749 (2001); PRD62, 083503 (2000)

* V.G. Gurzadyan & S.-S. Xue, Mod Phys Lett A18, 561 (2003)

* A. Chodos & E. Myers, '85-'86

Some recent developments

- Braneworld models based on a 6D SUGRA [Gibbons, 2003]

Some recent developments

- Braneworld models based on a 6D SUGRA [Gibbons, 2003]
- But we work with 4D counterpart, because of lack of formulation of heat-kernel coefficients for conical singularities in 6D (!)

Some recent developments

- Braneworld models based on a 6D SUGRA [Gibbons, 2003]
- But we work with 4D counterpart, because of lack of formulation of heat-kernel coefficients for conical singularities in 6D (!)
- Supergravity inspired models where the size of the compactified internal space is not fixed classically: may behave as a volume modulus in the 4D effective theory

Some recent developments

- Braneworld models based on a 6D SUGRA [Gibbons, 2003]
- But we work with 4D counterpart, because of lack of formulation of heat-kernel coefficients for conical singularities in 6D (!)
- Supergravity inspired models where the size of the compactified internal space is not fixed classically: may behave as a volume modulus in the 4D effective theory
- To fix this volume modulus look for additional mechanisms: in [Minamitsuji-Naylor-Sasaki, 2006] Casimir corrections for perturbations of a massless, minimally coupled bulk scalar field

Some recent developments

- Braneworld models based on a 6D SUGRA [Gibbons, 2003]
- But we work with 4D counterpart, because of lack of formulation of heat-kernel coefficients for conical singularities in 6D (!)
- Supergravity inspired models where the size of the compactified internal space is not fixed classically: may behave as a volume modulus in the 4D effective theory
- To fix this volume modulus look for additional mechanisms: in [Minamitsuji-Naylor-Sasaki, 2006] Casimir corrections for perturbations of a massless, minimally coupled bulk scalar field
- Revised analysis of these calculations: performing strict mode sum of exact mass spectrum by zeta function methods

Some recent developments

- Braneworld models based on a 6D SUGRA [Gibbons, 2003]
- But we work with 4D counterpart, because of lack of formulation of heat-kernel coefficients for conical singularities in 6D (!)
- Supergravity inspired models where the size of the compactified internal space is not fixed classically: may behave as a volume modulus in the 4D effective theory
- To fix this volume modulus look for additional mechanisms: in [Minamitsuji-Naylor-Sasaki, 2006] Casimir corrections for perturbations of a massless, minimally coupled bulk scalar field
- Revised analysis of these calculations: performing strict mode sum of exact mass spectrum by zeta function methods
- We produce an exact analysis of the one-loop effective action in the 4D, alternative model [EE-Minamitsuji-Naylor, PRD 2007]

- The **one-loop effective potential** for the volume modulus is similar to the **Coleman-Weinberg** potential

$$V_{4,\text{eff}} = \frac{A_4 - B_4 \ln(\mu^2 \rho_+)}{\rho_+}$$

ρ_+ characterizes the volume modulus

- The **one-loop effective potential** for the volume modulus is similar to the **Coleman-Weinberg** potential

$$V_{4,\text{eff}} = \frac{A_4 - B_4 \ln(\mu^2 \rho_+)}{\rho_+}$$

ρ_+ characterizes the volume modulus

- A_4 and B_4 are functions of the model parameters and the shape modulus (brane tensions)

- The **one-loop effective potential** for the volume modulus is similar to the **Coleman-Weinberg** potential

$$V_{4,\text{eff}} = \frac{A_4 - B_4 \ln(\mu^2 \rho_+)}{\rho_+}$$

ρ_+ characterizes the volume modulus

- A_4 and B_4 are functions of the model parameters and the shape modulus (brane tensions)
- Stability determined by sign of B_4 : **heat-kernel coeff**

- The **one-loop effective potential** for the volume modulus is similar to the **Coleman-Weinberg** potential

$$V_{4,\text{eff}} = \frac{A_4 - B_4 \ln(\mu^2 \rho_+)}{\rho_+}$$

ρ_+ characterizes the volume modulus

- A_4 and B_4 are functions of the model parameters and the shape modulus (brane tensions)
- Stability determined by sign of B_4 : **heat-kernel coeff**
- Phenomenological effects on the brane (eff mass of modulus, degree of hierarchy between fundamental energy scales on brane): need to know the value of A_4

- The **one-loop effective potential** for the volume modulus is similar to the **Coleman-Weinberg** potential

$$V_{4,\text{eff}} = \frac{A_4 - B_4 \ln(\mu^2 \rho_+)}{\rho_+}$$

ρ_+ characterizes the volume modulus

- A_4 and B_4 are functions of the model parameters and the shape modulus (brane tensions)
- Stability determined by sign of B_4 : **heat-kernel coeff**
- Phenomenological effects on the brane (eff mass of modulus, degree of hierarchy between fundamental energy scales on brane): need to know the value of A_4
- A_4 is not just related to the heat kernel: **need to evaluate $\zeta'(0)$**

- The **one-loop effective potential** for the volume modulus is similar to the **Coleman-Weinberg** potential

$$V_{4,\text{eff}} = \frac{A_4 - B_4 \ln(\mu^2 \rho_+)}{\rho_+}$$

ρ_+ characterizes the volume modulus

- A_4 and B_4 are functions of the model parameters and the shape modulus (brane tensions)
- Stability determined by sign of B_4 : **heat-kernel coeff**
- Phenomenological effects on the brane (eff mass of modulus, degree of hierarchy between fundamental energy scales on brane): need to know the value of A_4
- A_4 is not just related to the heat kernel: **need to evaluate $\zeta'(0)$**
- Accurate evaluation of A_4 is crucial for making physical predictions: **hierarchy & CC problems**

Zeta regularization

- In previous work **WKB** approx was used. Now exact analysis of the mass spectrum for **Kaluza-Klein**-like modes (not standard **KK** modes, because of conical singularities at poles of the two-sphere on the internal dims): **rugby-ball frame**

Zeta regularization

- In previous work **WKB** approx was used. Now exact analysis of the mass spectrum for **Kaluza-Klein**-like modes (not standard **KK** modes, because of conical singularities at poles of the two-sphere on the internal dims): **rugby-ball frame**
- (a) Find the eigenvalues. (b) Derive expression for the spectral zeta function. (c) Find the effective action:

Zeta regularization

- In previous work **WKB** approx was used. Now exact analysis of the mass spectrum for **Kaluza-Klein**-like modes (not standard **KK** modes, because of conical singularities at poles of the two-sphere on the internal dims): **rugby-ball frame**
- (a) Find the eigenvalues. (b) Derive expression for the spectral zeta function. (c) Find the effective action:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int d^2 x \int d^2 k \sum_{m,n} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \exp\{-[k^2 + g^2(a(m+\alpha)^2 + b(m+\alpha)|n| + cn^2 + q)]t\}$$

Zeta regularization

- In previous work **WKB** approx was used. Now exact analysis of the mass spectrum for **Kaluza-Klein**-like modes (not standard **KK** modes, because of conical singularities at poles of the two-sphere on the internal dims): **rugby-ball frame**
- (a) Find the eigenvalues. (b) Derive expression for the spectral zeta function. (c) Find the effective action:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int d^2 x \int d^2 k \sum_{m,n} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \exp\{-[k^2 + g^2(a(m+\alpha)^2 + b(m+\alpha)|n| + cn^2 + q)]t\}$$
$$a = 4, \quad b = \frac{4(1+r)}{\kappa}, \quad c = \frac{4r}{\kappa^2}, \quad q = -1, \quad \alpha = 1/2$$

Zeta regularization

- In previous work **WKB** approx was used. Now exact analysis of the mass spectrum for **Kaluza-Klein**-like modes (not standard **KK** modes, because of conical singularities at poles of the two-sphere on the internal dims): **rugby-ball frame**
- (a) Find the eigenvalues. (b) Derive expression for the spectral zeta function. (c) Find the effective action:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int d^2x \int d^2k \sum_{m,n} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \exp\{-[k^2 + g^2(a(m+\alpha)^2 + b(m+\alpha)|n| + cn^2 + q)]t\}$$

$$a = 4, \quad b = \frac{4(1+r)}{\kappa}, \quad c = \frac{4r}{\kappa^2}, \quad q = -1, \quad \alpha = 1/2$$

perform the k -integr after interchanging the order of integr

$$= \int d^2x \frac{2\pi g^{2(1-s)}}{s-1} \sum_{m,n} [a(m+\alpha)^2 + b(m+\alpha)|n| + cn^2 + q]^{1-s}$$

Zeta regularization

- In previous work **WKB** approx was used. Now exact analysis of the mass spectrum for **Kaluza-Klein**-like modes (not standard **KK** modes, because of conical singularities at poles of the two-sphere on the internal dims): **rugby-ball frame**
- (a) Find the eigenvalues. (b) Derive expression for the spectral zeta function. (c) Find the effective action:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int d^2 x \int d^2 k \sum_{m,n} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \exp\{-[k^2 + g^2(a(m+\alpha)^2 + b(m+\alpha)|n| + cn^2 + q)]t\}$$

$$a = 4, \quad b = \frac{4(1+r)}{\kappa}, \quad c = \frac{4r}{\kappa^2}, \quad q = -1, \quad \alpha = 1/2$$

perform the k -integr after interchanging the order of integr

$$= \int d^2 x \frac{2\pi g^{2(1-s)}}{s-1} \sum_{m,n} [a(m+\alpha)^2 + b(m+\alpha)|n| + cn^2 + q]^{1-s}$$

where we redefine the terms:

$$\hat{\alpha}(n) = \alpha + \frac{bn}{2a} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(1+r)|n|}{2\kappa}, \quad \hat{q}(n) = -\frac{n^2}{\kappa^2}(1-r)^2 - 1$$

Extended binomial expansion

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a(m + \alpha)^2 + b(m + \alpha)n + cn^2 + q]^{-s+1}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a(m + \hat{\alpha})^2 + \hat{q}]^{-s+1}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(j + s - 1)}{\Gamma(s - 1) j!} [a(m + \hat{\alpha})^2]^{1-s-j} \hat{q}^j$$

Extended binomial expansion

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a(m + \alpha)^2 + b(m + \alpha)n + cn^2 + q]^{-s+1}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a(m + \hat{\alpha})^2 + \hat{q}]^{-s+1}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(j + s - 1)}{\Gamma(s - 1) j!} [a(m + \hat{\alpha})^2]^{1-s-j} \hat{q}^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{1-s-j} \frac{\Gamma(j + s - 1)}{\Gamma(s - 1) j!} \hat{q}^j \zeta_H(2s + 2j - 2, \hat{\alpha}), \quad \left| \frac{\hat{q}}{a(m + \alpha)^2} \right| < 1$$

Extended binomial expansion

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a(m + \alpha)^2 + b(m + \alpha)n + cn^2 + q]^{-s+1}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a(m + \hat{\alpha})^2 + \hat{q}]^{-s+1}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(j + s - 1)}{\Gamma(s - 1) j!} [a(m + \hat{\alpha})^2]^{1-s-j} \hat{q}^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{1-s-j} \frac{\Gamma(j + s - 1)}{\Gamma(s - 1) j!} \hat{q}^j \zeta_H(2s + 2j - 2, \hat{\alpha}), \quad \left| \frac{\hat{q}}{a(m + \alpha)^2} \right| < 1$$

$$\zeta(s) \Big|_{n \neq 0} = \frac{g^{2(1-s)}}{\pi} \int d^2 x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(j, s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n^2}{\kappa^2} (1-r)^2 + 1 \right]^j \zeta_H \left(2s + 2j - 2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{2\kappa} n \right)$$

$$G(j, s) \equiv \frac{2^{-2(j+s-1)} \Gamma(s + j - 1)}{\Gamma(s) j!}$$

Analytic continuation of the ζ function

$$P(s) = \frac{g^{2(1-s)}}{\pi} \int d^2x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(j, s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{n^2}{\kappa^2} (1-r)^2 + 1 \right)^j \right. \\ \left. \times \zeta_H \left(2s+2j-2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{2\kappa} n \right) - F(n, j; s) \right]$$

Analytic continuation of the ζ function

$$P(s) = \frac{g^{2(1-s)}}{\pi} \int d^2x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(j, s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{n^2}{\kappa^2} (1-r)^2 + 1 \right)^j \right. \\ \left. \times \zeta_H \left(2s+2j-2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{2\kappa} n \right) - F(n, j; s) \right]$$

$$\zeta(s) \Big|_{n \neq 0} = P(s) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(j, s) \Delta(j, s), \quad \text{analogously } \zeta_0(s)$$

Analytic continuation of the ζ function

$$P(s) = \frac{g^{2(1-s)}}{\pi} \int d^2x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(j, s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{n^2}{\kappa^2} (1-r)^2 + 1 \right)^j \right. \\ \left. \times \zeta_H \left(2s+2j-2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{2\kappa} n \right) - F(n, j; s) \right]$$

$$\zeta(s) \Big|_{n \neq 0} = P(s) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(j, s) \Delta(j, s), \quad \text{analogously } \zeta_0(s)$$

$$\Delta(j, s) = \frac{2^{-6+2j+2s} g^{2(1-s)}}{45(2j+2s-3)\pi} \int d^2x \kappa^{1+2s} \frac{(1-r)^{2j}}{(1+r)^{1+2j+2s}} \\ \times \left[\frac{w_0}{\kappa^4} \zeta_R(2s-3) + \frac{w_2(j, s)}{\kappa^2} \zeta_R(2s-1) + w_4(j, s) \zeta_R(2s+1) \right]$$

Analytic continuation of the ζ function

$$P(s) = \frac{g^{2(1-s)}}{\pi} \int d^2x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(j, s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(\frac{n^2}{\kappa^2} (1-r)^2 + 1 \right)^j \right. \\ \left. \times \zeta_H \left(2s+2j-2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1+r}{2\kappa} n \right) - F(n, j; s) \right]$$

$$\zeta(s) \Big|_{n \neq 0} = P(s) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(j, s) \Delta(j, s), \quad \text{analogously } \zeta_0(s)$$

$$\Delta(j, s) = \frac{2^{-6+2j+2s} g^{2(1-s)}}{45(2j+2s-3)\pi} \int d^2x \kappa^{1+2s} \frac{(1-r)^{2j}}{(1+r)^{1+2j+2s}} \\ \times \left[\frac{w_0}{\kappa^4} \zeta_R(2s-3) + \frac{w_2(j, s)}{\kappa^2} \zeta_R(2s-1) + w_4(j, s) \zeta_R(2s+1) \right]$$

⇒ Extend our analysis to the cases of

- (1) 6 dimensions
- (2) a bulk scalar field with self-interactions and other fields in the multiplets appearing in the supergravity model